## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
<u>-</u>	No. 22-1530	
EDDIE ROY JACKSON, JR.,		
Plaintiff - App	ellant,	
v.		
WILHELM RESTAURANT GROV KEMMER; DOUGLAS HEWITT,		PORATION; ROSEMARIE
Defendants - A	Appellees.	
-		
Appeal from the United States D Alexandria. Rossie David Alston,		
Submitted: September 21, 2023		Decided: October 12, 2023
Before KING, HEYTENS, and BE	NJAMIN, Circuit Ju	dges.
Affirmed by unpublished per curian	m opinion.	
Eddie Roy Jackson, Jr., Appellant Randy Carl Sparks, Jr., KAUFN Appellees.		
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Eddie Roy Jackson, Jr., appeals the district court's order granting Appellees' motion to dismiss his civil complaint under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).\* We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny the pending motion and affirm the district court's order. *See Jackson v. Wilhelm Rest. Grp., Inc.*, No. 1:22-cv-00165-RDA-TCB (E.D. Va. Mar. 30, 2022). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED** 

<sup>\*</sup>Appellees have moved to dismiss the appeal, because the notice of appeal was filed more than 30 days after the district court's order was entered on the docket. (ECF No. 8). Jackson opposes the motion. (ECF No. 16). Because the district court's order was not set forth on a separate document, we conclude that the notice of appeal was timely filed, and we have jurisdiction over the appeal. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(7)(A)(ii).