## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
_	No. 22-1675	
THOMAS F. SWEENEY,		
Plaintiff - App	ellant.	
v.		
PETE BUTTIGIEG, Secretary of thonly,	ne Department of Tra	nsportation, In his official capacity
Defendant - A	ppellee.	
Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Alexandria. Claude M. Hilton, Senior District Judge. (1:21-cv-00204-CMH-JFA)		
Submitted: October 21, 2022		Decided: November 16, 2022
Before DIAZ, HARRIS, and QUA	TTLEBAUM, Circu	it Judges.
Affirmed by unpublished per curiar	m opinion.	
Thomas F. Sweeney, Appellant Pro Se. Peter B. Baumhart, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Alexandria, Virginia, for Appellee.		
Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.		

## PER CURIAM:

Thomas F. Sweeney appeals the district court's order granting Defendant's motion to dismiss Sweeney's employment discrimination complaint. Defendant has moved to dismiss the appeal, arguing that Sweeney did not timely file his notice of appeal. Under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(7)(A), a district court order is entered when the "judgment or order is entered in the civil docket under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 79(a)." *See Hughes v. Halifax Cnty. Sch. Bd.*, 823 F.2d 832, 835 (4th Cir. 1987). Here, the district court entered its memorandum opinion and order in the docket on April 19, 2022. As a result, Sweeney had until June 21, 2022, to file a notice of appeal. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(B); Fed. R. App. P. 26(a)(1)(C), (6)(B). We therefore conclude that Sweeney's notice of appeal, filed on June 21, was timely, and we deny Defendant's motion to dismiss the appeal.

Nonetheless, we have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Sweeney v. Buttigieg*, No. 1:21-cv-00204-CMH-JFA (E.D. Va. filed Apr. 18, 2022 & entered Apr. 19, 2022). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED