UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-1705	
DARYL ANTHONY GREEN,	
Debtor - Appellant,	
v.	
PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY OFFICE OF CHILD SUCCEDED TO COUNTY OFFICE OF CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEM	•
Creditors - Appellees,	
and	
TIMOTHY P. BRANIGAN,	
Trustee - Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States District Court for the Dis Peter J. Messitte, Senior District Judge. (8:21-cv-02441-I	·
Submitted: April 20, 2023	Decided: April 24, 2023
Before KING and QUATTLEBAUM, Circuit Judges, and	l FLOYD, Senior Circuit Judge.
Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.	
Daryl Anthony Green, Appellant Pro Se. Karen Hess General, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL Maryland; Timothy P. Branigan, LAW OFFICE OF TIM	OF MARYLAND, Baltimore,

Maryland for Appellees.	

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Daryl Anthony Green appeals the district court's orders: (1) dismissing his appeal from the bankruptcy court's order dismissing his Chapter 13 bankruptcy case, and (2) imposing a prefiling injunction against him. With respect to the dismissal order, we have reviewed the record and find no reversible error and no abuse of discretion. *See* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8003(a)(2); *In re SPR Corp.*, 45 F.3d 70, 74 (4th Cir. 1995); *In re Serra Builders, Inc.*, 970 F.2d 1309, 1311 (4th Cir. 1992). We also find no abuse of discretion by the district court in imposing monetary sanctions and a prefiling injunction upon finding that Green engaged in abusive, repetitive, and vexatious bankruptcy filings. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order. *Green v. Prince George's Cnty. Ofc. of Child Support*, No. 8:21-cv-02441-PJM (D. Md. June 16, 2022).

We deny Green's motions: for an extension of time to file transcripts and to amend his informal brief; to address his appeals from the dismissal of two other bankruptcy court actions; for judicial notice, to strike the sanctions order, and for leave to file federal litigation; and for a stay pending appeal and a preliminary injunction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED