## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-1733

ROBERT W. JOHNSON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

MARIA L. IMPERIAL; GEICO CORPORATION; STEVEN L. MAURER; MAURER CHIROPRACTIC; JACLYN DAVIS; GEICO INDEMNITY COMPANY; LARISSA DALLARI; WADE STROBLE; WILKES-BARRE IMAGING, LLC; WILKES-BARRE IMAGING; VISION IMAGING OF KINGSTON, LLC; FORD EXPLORER; DR. PAMELA THOMPSON; NORTHERN LIGHTS CHIROPRACTIC; GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES INSURANCE COMPANY; GEICO GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY; GEICO INDEMNITY COMPANY; GEICO CASUALTY COMPANY; GEICO ADVANTAGE INSURANCE COMPANY; GEICO CHOICE INSURANCE COMPANY; GEICO SECURE INSURANCE COMPANY; CASSANDRA JONES; GEICO SPECIAL INVESTIGATORS; DAVIDSON AUTO GROUP; NEW JERSEY FAMILY AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE POLICY; CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION; CARFAX; FORD MOTOR COMPANY; EXEM UNITED, LLC,

Defendants - Appellees.	
Appeal from the United States District Co Alexandria. Rossie David Alston, Jr., Distric	ourt for the Eastern District of Virginia, at tt Judge. (1:22-cv-00078-RDA-TCB)
Submitted: December 15, 2022	Decided: December 19, 2022
Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, and WILK	INSON and DIAZ, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.	
Robert W. Johnson, Appellant Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit	

## PER CURIAM:

Robert W. Johnson appeals the district court's order dismissing his civil complaint without prejudice. On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the informal brief. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Johnson's informal brief does not challenge the basis for the district court's disposition, he has forfeited appellate review of the court's order. *See Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief."). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED**