## UNPUBLISHED

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

### No. 22-1803

#### SEBASTIAN X. MOORE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

CHRIS WHEELEY, Walmart,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Raleigh. Richard E. Myers, II, Chief District Judge. (5:21-cv-00439-M)

Submitted: April 20, 2023

Decided: April 24, 2023

Before KING and QUATTLEBAUM, Circuit Judges, and FLOYD, Senior Circuit Judge.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Sebastian X. Moore, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

#### PER CURIAM:

Sebastian X. Moore seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing his civil action without prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was not timely filed.

In civil cases, parties have 30 days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) or reopens the appeal period under fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) or reopens the appeal period under fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) or reopens the appeal period under fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) or reopens the appeal period under fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) or reopens the appeal period under fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." *Bowles v. Russell*, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

The district court entered its order on December 20, 2021. Moore filed the notice of appeal on July 19, 2022. Because Moore failed to file a timely notice of appeal or to obtain an extension or reopening of the appeal period, we dismiss the appeal. We deny Moore's motion to show cause and his motion to expedite and remand.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

### DISMISSED