

**UNPUBLISHED**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

---

**No. 22-1881**

---

CHRIS E. FRANKS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

TRIPLE CANOPY, INC.; CONSTELLIS, LLC; CAPTAIN JUSTIN GOOD, in his  
official capacity; FPO “JOHN” SHELDON, in his individual and official capacity;  
FPO “JOHN” WILLIAMS, in his individual and official capacity,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at  
Alexandria. Claude M. Hilton, Senior District Judge. (1:18-cv-00436-CMH-JFA)

---

Submitted: December 15, 2022

Decided: December 19, 2022

---

Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, and WILKINSON and DIAZ, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Chris E. Franks, Appellant Pro Se. Sarah Aiman Belger, QUARLES & BRADY LLP,  
Washington, D.C., for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Chris E. Franks seeks to appeal the district court's order denying his motion for an extension of time to file an appeal of the district court's previous order granting summary judgment to Defendants on Franks' civil action. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was not timely filed.

In civil cases, parties have 30 days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." *Bowles v. Russell*, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

The district court entered its order on November 7, 2019. Franks filed the notice of appeal on August 3, 2022. Because Franks failed to file a timely notice of appeal or to obtain an extension or reopening of the appeal period, we dismiss the appeal.\*

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*DISMISSED*

---

\* To the extent Franks seeks to appeal the district court's order granting Defendants' motion for summary judgment, the appeal is also untimely as to that order.