UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-	No. 22-6268	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
WILLIAM RICHARD HILLIARD), JR.,	
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
-		
Appeal from the United States Distance Asheville. Martin K. Reidinger, Checv-00013-MR)		
Submitted: November 22, 2022		Decided: November 28, 2022
Before HARRIS and RICHARDS Judge.	SON, Circuit Judges	, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
William Richard Hilliard, Jr., Appe	ellant Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

William Richard Hilliard, Jr., seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as untimely his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. *See Whiteside v. United States*, 775 F.3d 180, 182-83 (4th Cir. 2014) (en banc) (explaining that § 2255 motions are subject to one-year statute of limitations, running from latest of four commencement dates enumerated in 28 U.S.C. § 2255(f)). The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When, as here, the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Hilliard has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny Hilliard's motion for a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED