UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-6444

WILLIAM Z. BOLES,	
Plaintiff - App	pellant,
v.	
FIELDS; SERGEANT JONES; F	IIE COBB; HARRIS ENZOR; BRADLEY N. FAUSTINA F. BROWN; SUPERINTENDENT ASSIDY; LIEUTENANT PATRICK; MR.
Defendants - A	Appellees.
Appeal from the United States Dist Raleigh. Louise W. Flanagan, Dist	trict Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at trict Judge. (5:21-ct-03010-FL)
Submitted: November 22, 2022	Decided: November 28, 2022
Before HARRIS and RICHARDS Judge.	SON, Circuit Judges, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curi-	am opinion.
William Z. Boles, Appellant Pro So	e.
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

William Z. Boles seeks to appeal the district court's order denying his motion for a preliminary injunction in his civil action against prison officials based on injuries he suffered while incarcerated. Although the order was an appealable interlocutory order at the time Boles filed his notice of appeal, see 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1), the district court has now entered a final order dismissing the complaint for failing to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. Accordingly, we dismiss Boles' appeal as moot. See Already, LLC v. Nike, Inc., 568 U.S. 85, 91 (2013) ("A case becomes moot . . . when the issues presented are no longer live or the parties lack a legally cognizable interest in the outcome." (internal quotation marks omitted)); Dex Media W., Inc. v. City of Seattle, 696 F.3d 952, 956 n.1 (9th Cir. 2012) (dismissing as moot appeal from denial of preliminary injunction where district court had entered final judgment because "deciding the preliminary injunction appeal would have no practical consequences"). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED