## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	-	
<u>-</u>	No. 22-7001	
DWAYNE DOMINIC HINES,		
Petitioner - Ap	opellant,	
v.		
HAROLD W. CLARKE, Director,	Virginia Departmen	t of Corrections,
Respondent - A	Appellee.	
-	_	
Appeal from the United States D. Norfolk. Robert G. Doumar, Senio		•
Submitted: April 25, 2023		Decided: April 27, 2023
Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, Judge.	THACKER, Circuit	Judge, and MOTZ, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Dwayne Dominic Hines, Appellant	t Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Dwayne Dominic Hines seeks to appeal the district court's dismissing as untimely his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). The magistrate judge recommended denying relief and advised Hines that failure to file timely, specific objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation.

The timely filing of specific objections to a magistrate judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when the parties have been warned of the consequences of noncompliance. *Martin v. Duffy*, 858 F.3d 239, 245 (4th Cir. 2017); *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 846-47 (4th Cir. 1985); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 154-55 (1985). Hines has waived appellate review by failing to file objections to the magistrate judge's recommendation after receiving proper notice. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We deny Hines' motion to appoint counsel.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED