UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		•
	No. 22-7003	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	.,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
ALTON B. COUTHER, III,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States I Norfolk. Raymond A. Jackson, Sec cv-00134-RAJ)		•
Submitted: February 27, 2024		Decided: February 29, 2024
Before WILKINSON, WYNN, and	d HARRIS, Circuit Ju	udges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Alton Bernard Couther, III, Appell UNITED STATES ATTORNEY,		•
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Alton Bernard Couther, III, seeks to appeal the district court's order denying his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion as time-barred. *See Whiteside v. United States*, 775 F.3d 180, 182-83 (4th Cir. 2014) (en banc) (explaining that § 2255 motions are subject to one-year statute of limitations, running from latest of four commencement dates enumerated in 28 U.S.C. § 2255(f)). The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When, as here, the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Couther has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED