UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		i
	No. 22-7011	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	.,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
JEFFERY JERMAINE JOE,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States I Columbia. Joseph F. Anderson, Jr.		*
Submitted: November 17, 2022	_	Decided: November 23, 2022
Before KING, QUATTLEBAUM,	and RUSHING, Circ	cuit Judges.
Affirmed by unpublished per curia	m opinion.	
Jeffery Jermaine Joe, Appellant Pr Attorney, OFFICE OF THE UNIT for Appellee.	•	•
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Jeffery Jermaine Joe filed an 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i) motion for compassionate release, which the district court denied. Joe later moved for reconsideration of the denial of his request for compassionate release. The district court denied this motion. Joe appeals the denial of both motions.

After reviewing the record, we conclude that the district court—which considered Joe's arguments and set forth its reasoned bases for decision—did not abuse its discretion in finding that the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors weighed against granting his requests for relief. *See United States v. Kibble*, 992 F.3d 326, 329, 331-32 & n.3 (4th Cir.) (per curiam) (stating that district court's denial of compassionate release motion is reviewed for abuse of discretion and that district courts are to consider relevant § 3553(a) factors), *cert. denied*, 142 S. Ct. 383 (2021); *United States v. High*, 997 F.3d 181, 188-91 (4th Cir. 2021) (discussing amount of explanation required for denial of compassionate release motion). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's orders. *United States v. Joe*, No. 3:17-cr-00768-JFA-1 (D.S.C. July 7 & Aug. 18, 2022). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED