UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
_	No. 22-7012	
MARKESE L. JONES,		
Petitioner - Ap	pellant,	
v.		
HAROLD W. CLARKE, Director of	of the Virginia Depa	rtment of Corrections,
Respondent - A	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States D Norfolk. Raymond A. Jackson, Ser		•
Submitted: November 22, 2022		Decided: November 29, 2022
Before HARRIS and RICHARDS Judge.	ON, Circuit Judges	s, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Markese Lamond Jones, Appellant	Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Markese Lamond Jones seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and dismissing as untimely Jones' 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. *See Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 148 & n.9 (2012) (explaining that § 2254 petitions are subject to one-year statute of limitations, running from latest of four commencement dates enumerated in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1)). The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When, as here, the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez*, 565 U.S. at 140-41 (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Jones has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED