UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 22-7082	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	•	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
ARNULFO FAGOT-MAXIMO, a	/k/a El Tio,	
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States E Alexandria. Liam O'Grady, Sen 00849-LO)		e Eastern District of Virginia, at (1:15-cr-00290-LMB-6; 1:21-cv-
Submitted: September 13, 2023		Decided: November 3, 2023
Before AGEE and HARRIS, Circu	it Judges, and FLOY	D, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curis	am opinion.	
Arnulfo Fagot-Maximo, Appellant	Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.		

PER CURIAM:

Arnulfo Fagot-Maximo seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 580 U.S. 100, 115-17 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Fagot-Maximo has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED