## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

		•
	No. 22-7098	
ERIC ANCRUM,		
Petitioner - A	appellant,	
v.		
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA WARDEN RAFAEL VERGARA		ING, Director of the SCDC;
Respondents	- Appellees.	
Appeal from the United States Di Donald C. Coggins, Jr., District Ju		istrict of South Carolina, at Aiken. -DCC)
Submitted: March 21, 2023		Decided: March 24, 2023
Before WYNN and RICHARDSC	ON, Circuit Judges, an	d KEENAN, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per cur	riam opinion.	
Tristan Michael Shaffer, ADAM Appellant.	S & BISCHOFF, LL	.C, Columbia, South Carolina, for
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ding precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Eric Ancrum seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on Ancrum's 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. See Buck v. Davis, 580 U.S. 100, 115-17 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Gonzalez v. Thaler, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Ancrum has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED