UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
<u>-</u>	No. 22-7152	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	ellee,	
V.		
BERNARD CELESTINE, a/k/a Sp	eed, a/k/a Beaver,	
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
<u>-</u>		
Appeal from the United States Dist Greenville. James C. Dever III, Di		
Submitted: March 21, 2023		Decided: March 24, 2023
Before WYNN and RICHARDSON	N, Circuit Judges, an	d KEENAN, Senior Circuit Judge.
Affirmed by unpublished per curiar	n opinion.	
Bernard Celestine, Appellant Pro S	e.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Bernard Celestine appeals the district court's order granting in part and denying in part his motion for compassionate release and for a sentence reduction under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1), as amended by the First Step Act of 2018, Pub. L. No. 115-391, 132 Stat. 5194. After reviewing the record, we conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying Celestine's motion. See United States v. Kibble, 992 F.3d 326, 329 (4th Cir.) (per curiam) (stating standard of review), cert. denied, 142 S. Ct. 383 (2021). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order. *United States v. Celestine*, No. 4:95-cr-00041-D-9 (E.D.N.C. Aug. 31, 2022). While we grant Celestine's motions to exceed the length limitations for his informal brief and for leave to file a supplemental brief and appendix, we deny his motions for appointment of counsel and to dismiss the appeal. We grant in part Celestine's motion to seal the supplemental appendix and accordingly seal pages Supp. A-45 through Supp. A-71 thereof, but we deny the motion to seal as to the remainder of those materials. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED