UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | No. 22-7274 | |
| TEVIN BROCK, | | |
| Petitioner - Ap | ppellant, | |
| V. | | |
| HAROLD W. CLARKE, Director | of Virginia Departme | ent of Corrections, |
| Respondent - | Appellee. | |
| | | |
| Appeal from the United States D Roanoke. James P. Jones, Senior I | | |
| Submitted: May 23, 2023 | | Decided: May 26, 2023 |
| Before AGEE, WYNN, and QUAT | ΓTLEBAUM, Circuit | Judges. |
| Dismissed by unpublished per curi | am opinion. | |
| Tevin Brock, Appellant Pro Se. | | |
| Unpublished opinions are not bind | ing precedent in this | circuit. |

PER CURIAM:

Tevin Brock seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 580 U.S. 100, 115-17 (2017).

Limiting our review of the record to the issues raised in Brock's informal brief, we conclude that he has not made the requisite showing. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b); *see also Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief."). Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED