## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-			
_	No. 22-7351		
LARRY D. MOSLEY,			
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,		
v.			
PHILLIP A. WHITE, Warden,			
Respondent - A	Appellee.		
-			
Appeal from the United States D Roanoke. Michael F. Urbanski, Ch			•
Submitted: September 20, 2023		Decided:	October 12, 2023
Before WYNN, HARRIS, and RIC	HARDSON, Circuit	Judges.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.		
Larry D. Mosley, Appellant Pro Se			
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.	

## PER CURIAM:

Larry D. Mosley seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 580 U.S. 100, 115-17 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Mosley has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We grant Mosley's motion to exceed length limitations on his informal brief. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED