UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 22-7418	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	.,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
ALANDIS D. PATTERSON,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States I Greenville. Bruce H. Hendricks, I BHH)		
Submitted: May 23, 2023		Decided: May 26, 2023
Before AGEE, WYNN, and QUAT	TTLEBAUM, Circuit	Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Alandis D. Patterson, Appellant P Attorney, OFFICE OF THE UNIT for Appellee.		

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Alandis D. Patterson seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 580 U.S. 100, 115-17 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Patterson has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED