

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 23-1364**

---

JOHN DOE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

BILL CROUCH, in his official capacity as Cabinet Secretary of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources; AYNE AMJAD, in her official capacity as Commissioner for the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources, Bureau of Public Health and State Health Officer, and; MATTHEW WICKERT, in his official capacity as the State Registrar for Vital Statistics,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia, at Charleston. Joseph R. Goodwin, District Judge. (2:22-cv-00328)

---

Submitted: July 25, 2023

Decided: July 28, 2023

---

Before WYNN and HEYTENS, Circuit Judges, and FLOYD, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

John Doe, Appellant Pro Se. Lindsay Sara See, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WEST VIRGINIA, Charleston, West Virginia, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

John Doe appeals the district court's order adopting the magistrate judge's recommendation with modifications, dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil action challenging application of W. Va. Code. § 16-5-10(e) for lack of Article III standing and as barred by the *Rooker-Feldman*\* doctrine, and directing him to show cause why a prefiling injunction should not issue. Doe confines his appeal to the district court's dismissal of his complaint. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. See *Episcopal Church in S.C. v. Church Ins. Co. of Vt.*, 997 F.3d 149, 154 (4th Cir. 2021) (reviewing de novo dismissal for lack of standing); *Hulsey v. Cisa*, 947 F.3d 246, 249 (4th Cir. 2020) (reviewing de novo dismissal of claims as barred by *Rooker-Feldman*). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order. *Doe v. Crouch*, No. 2:22-cv-00328 (S.D.W. Va. Mar. 30, 2023). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*

---

\* *D.C. Ct. of App. v. Feldman*, 460 U.S. 462 (1983); *Rooker v. Fid. Tr. Co.*, 263 U.S. 413 (1923).