UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 23-1459	
TYSHA S. HOLMES,		
Petitioner,		
V.		
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT PROTECTION BOARD,	NT OF THE ARMY	Y; U.S. MERIT SYSTEMS
Respondents.		
On Petition for Review of an Orde 11-0263-B-4)	er of the Merits Syste	ems Protection Board. (AT-0752-
Submitted: September 28, 2023		Decided: October 2, 2023
Before NIEMEYER, THACKER,	and RUSHING, Circ	uit Judges.
Petition dismissed by unpublished	per curiam opinion.	
Tysha S. Holmes, Petitioner P. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, W. STATES MERIT SYSTEMS Respondents.	/ashington, D.C.; Ka	therine Michelle Smith, UNITED

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Tysha S. Holmes seeks review of the Merit Systems Protection Board's (MSPB) final decision upholding Holmes' removal from service and finding that Holmes failed to prove her race discrimination and whistleblower retaliation affirmative defenses. The United States Department of the Army ("the Army") has filed a motion to dismiss Holmes' petition, and the MSPB has filed a motion to amend the caption to designate the Army as the sole respondent. Holmes opposes Respondents' motions and moves for an extension of time to file her petition for review.

As she did before the MSPB, Holmes argues that discrimination and whistleblower retaliation were the bases for her removal from service. Holmes has therefore brought a "mixed case" and may only seek judicial review of the MSPB's decision "in federal *district* court." *Zachariasiewicz v. U.S. Dep't of Just.*, 48 F.4th 237, 243 (4th Cir. 2022) (emphasis added). We therefore grant the Army's motion to dismiss and dismiss Holmes' petition for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. We deny as moot the MSPB's motion to amend and Holmes' motion for extension of time. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DISMISSED