UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 23-1565	
KEVIN SLEEM,	
Plaintiff - App	pellant,
v.	
	ON; UNC CHAPEL HILL, Dorms, Student UNIVERSITY, College of Business; DURHAM
Defendants - A	Appellees.
Appeal from the United States Dis Raleigh. Louise W. Flanagan, Dis	trict Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at trict Judge. (5:22-cv-00355-FL)
Submitted: October 19, 2023	Decided: October 23, 2023
Before KING and WYNN, Circuit	Judges, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit Judge.
Affirmed by unpublished per curia	m opinion.
Kevin Sleem, Appellant Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Kevin Sleem appeals the district court's order adopting the magistrate judge's recommendation to dismiss, after a review pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), Sleem's civil complaint against Defendants. On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the informal brief. See 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Sleem's informal and supplemental informal briefs fail to meaningfully challenge the district court's rationale for dismissing his claims, Sleem has forfeited appellate review of the court's disposition. See Jackson v. Lightsey, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief."). To the extent Sleem seeks to raise new claims or to introduce evidence for the first time on appeal, he may not do so. See Holly Hill Farm Corp. v. United States, 447 F.3d 258, 267 (4th Cir. 2006). We therefore affirm the district court's order. Sleem v. U.S. Fed. Gov't, No. 5:22cv-00355-FL (E.D.N.C. Apr. 27, 2023). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED