

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 23-1788**

---

In re: DAVID T. ODOM,

Petitioner.

---

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus to the United States District Court for the District of Maryland at Baltimore. (1:16-cr-00192-GLR-3)

---

Submitted: September 14, 2023

Decided: September 18, 2023

---

Before WILKINSON, GREGORY, and RICHARDSON, Circuit Judges.

---

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

David T. Odom, Petitioner Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

David T. Odom petitions for a writ of mandamus, alleging that the district court has unduly delayed in ruling on his motion to amend his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. He seeks an order from this court directing the district court to act. Odom filed his motion to amend prior to the district court denying relief on his § 2255 motion. On appeal from the district court's order denying his § 2255 motion, Odom raised as an issue the district court's failure to rule on his motion to amend; we denied a certificate of appealability and dismissed Odom's appeal. *United States v. Odom*, 836 F. App'x 180 (4th Cir. 2021) (No. 20-7291). Under these circumstances, we conclude that Odom is not entitled to mandamus relief. Accordingly, we deny the mandamus petition. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*PETITION DENIED*