UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 23-2106	
In re: KENT BELL,		
Petitioner.		
On Petition for Writ of Mandamus Maryland, at Baltimore.	s to the United State	s District Court for the District of
Submitted: December 14, 2023		Decided: December 18, 2023
Before GREGORY and RUSHING	G, Circuit Judges, and	MOTZ, Senior Circuit Judge.
Petition denied by unpublished per	curiam opinion.	
Kent Bell, Petitioner Pro Se.		
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Kent Bell petitions for a writ of mandamus seeking an order allowing him to challenge his 2013 Maryland conviction. We conclude that Bell is not entitled to mandamus relief.

Mandamus relief is a drastic remedy and should be used only in extraordinary circumstances. *Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Ct.*, 542 U.S. 367, 380 (2004); *In re Murphy-Brown, LLC*, 907 F.3d 788, 795 (4th Cir. 2018). Further, mandamus relief is available only when the petitioner has a clear right to the relief sought and "has no other adequate means to attain the relief [he] desires." *Murphy-Brown*, 907 F.3d at 795 (alteration and internal quotation marks omitted). This court does not have jurisdiction to grant mandamus relief against state officials. *Gurley v. Superior Ct. of Mecklenburg Cnty.*, 411 F.2d 586, 587 (4th Cir. 1969).

The relief sought by Bell is not available by way of mandamus. Accordingly, we deny the petition for writ of mandamus. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED