UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

| - | | |
|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| _ | No. 23-6064 | |
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA | , | |
| Plaintiff - App | ellee, | |
| V. | | |
| ANTEDIOUS STOWE, | | |
| Defendant - A | ppellant. | |
| - | | |
| Appeal from the United States Distriction Charlotte. Robert J. Conrad, Jr., Distriction Charlotte. | | |
| Submitted: April 20, 2023 | | Decided: April 25, 2023 |
| Before KING and QUATTLEBAU | M, Circuit Judges, a | nd FLOYD, Senior Circuit Judge. |
| Affirmed by unpublished per curiar | n opinion. | |
| Antedious Stowe, Appellant Pro Se | > . | |
| Unpublished opinions are not bindi | ng precedent in this | circuit. |

PER CURIAM:

Antedious Stowe appeals the district court's order granting in part his motion for a sentence reduction under § 404(b) of the First Step Act of 2018, Pub. L. No. 115-391, 132 Stat. 5194, 5222. The court concluded that Stowe was eligible for relief and exercised its discretion to reduce his sentence, but not as low as requested. After reviewing the record, we conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in determining the extent of the sentence reduction. *See Concepcion v. United States*, 142 S. Ct. 2389, 2404-05 (2022) (stating standard). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. *United States v. Stowe*, No. 3:07-cr-00108-RJC-1 (W.D.N.C. Jan. 10, 2023). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED