UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
<u>-</u>	No. 23-6066	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	ellee,	
V.		
TERRI MOORE,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
-		
Appeal from the United States I Columbia. Joseph F. Anderson, Jr cv-01878-JFA)		•
Submitted: January 29, 2024		Decided: April 9, 2024
Before AGEE and RUSHING, Circ	cuit Judges, and MO	ΓZ, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Terri Moore, Appellant Pro Se. K Attorney, OFFICE OF THE UNIT for Appellee.		

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Terri Moore seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on her 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by showing that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. See Buck v. Davis, 580 U.S. 100, 115-17 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must show both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Gonzalez v. Thaler, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Moore has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED