## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

_	No. 23-6118	- -
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	,	
Plaintiff - App	ellee,	
v.		
BENJAMIN MCMILLER,		
Defendant - Ap	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, at Charlotte. Robert J. Conrad, Jr., District Judge. (3:17-cr-00269-RJC-DCK-1)		
Submitted: August 24, 2023		Decided: August 29, 2023
Before QUATTLEBAUM and HE Judge.	EYTENS, Circuit Ju	udges, and MOTZ, Senior Circuit
Affirmed by unpublished per curiar	n opinion.	_
Benjamin McMiller, Appellant Pro	Se.	-
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Benjamin McMiller appeals the district court's order denying his motion for compassionate release, brought pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), as amended by the First Step Act of 2018, Pub. L. No. 115-391, § 603(b)(1), 132 Stat. 5194, 5239. After reviewing the record, we conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying McMiller's motion. See United States v. Kibble, 992 F.3d 326, 329 (4th Cir. 2021) (per curiam) (stating standard of review); see also United States v. High, 997 F.3d 181, 191 (4th Cir. 2021) ("[I]n light of this case's relative simplicity, where the district court was aware of the arguments, considered the relevant sentencing factors, and had an intuitive reason for adhering to what was already a below-Guidelines sentence, its explanation for denying [the] motion for compassionate release was adequate." (cleaned up)). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order. *United States v. McMiller*, No. 3:17-cr-00269-RJC-DCK-1 (W.D.N.C. Jan. 26, 2023). Because it fails to comply with 4th Cir. R. 28(b), McMiller's motion to file a supplemental informal brief is denied. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED**