UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
_	No. 23-6162	
HARSHADKUMAR NANJIBHAI	I JADAV,	
Petitioner - Ap	opellant,	
V.		
J. WOODSON, Warden,		
Respondent -	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States D. Alexandria. T. S. Ellis, III, Senior		
Submitted: November 6, 2023		Decided: December 8, 2023
Before AGEE and QUATTLEBA Judge.	UM, Circuit Judges	, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curis	am opinion.	
Harshadkumar Nanjibhai Jadav, Aj	ppellant Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Harshadkumar Nanjibhai Jadav seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 580 U.S. 100, 115-17 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Jadav has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny Jadav's motion for appointment of counsel, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED