## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 23-6243	
TEVEN DIXON PRENTICE,	
Plaintiff - Appellant,	
$\mathbf{v}.$	
R. GREGORY DELAND HAYNES; PHILLIP TOLMAN; DR. CLIFFORD URTIS; ABHAY AGARWAL; DR. CHARLES A. LEWIS; EDDIE M. UFFALOE, JR.,	
Defendants - Appellees,	
and	
TATE OF NORTH CAROLINA; NC DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY; ERRI CATLETT; GEORGE BAIDEN; LORI A. WISHART,	
Defendants.	
ppeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina aleigh. James C. Dever III, District Judge. (5:20-ct-03150-D)	a, at
ubmitted: September 28, 2023 Decided: October 3, 2	023
efore NIEMEYER, THACKER, and RUSHING, Circuit Judges.	
ffirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.	

Steven Dixon Prentice, Appellant Pro Se. John David Kocher, SHUMAKER LOOP & KENDRICK, PLLC, Charlotte, North Carolina; Jeffrey D. Keister, MCANGUS GOUDELOCK & COURIE, PLLC, Raleigh, North Carolina; Elizabeth Pharr McCullough, WALKER, ALLEN, GRICE, AMMONS, FOY, KLICK & MCCULLOUGH, Raleigh, North Carolina; Jennifer Dotson Maldonado, BATTEN LEE, PLLC, Raleigh, North Carolina; Jonathan Edgar Hall, PARKER POE ADAMS & BERNSTEIN LLP, Raleigh, North Carolina; Bettina Jimille Roberts, NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Steven Dixon Prentice, a North Carolina inmate, appeals the district court's order granting Defendants summary judgment in his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order. *Prentice v. Haynes*, No. 5:20-ct-03150-D (E.D.N.C. Mar. 3, 2023). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED