## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 23-6255		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	,	
Plaintiff - App	ellee,	
v.		
CHRISTOPHER LEE GRIFFIN,		
Defendant - Ap	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Distr Charlotte. Robert J. Conrad, Jr., 1 00513-RJC)		
Submitted: August 24, 2023		Decided: August 29, 2023
Before QUATTLEBAUM and HE Judge.	EYTENS, Circuit Ju	dges, and MOTZ, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Christopher Lee Griffin, Appellant	Pro Se.	

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Christopher Lee Griffin seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 580 U.S. 100, 115-17 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Griffin has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED