UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

_	No. 23-6418
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,
Plaintiff - App	pellee,
V.	
TERENCE HOWARD ROACH, a	/k/a Terrance Howard Roach,
Defendant - A	ppellant.
	rict Court for the Western District of North Carolina, at Chief District Judge. (2:06-cr-00004-MR-WCM-1;
Submitted: November 17, 2023	Decided: December 8, 2023
Before WILKINSON and NIEME Judge.	YER, Circuit Judges, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curis	am opinion.
Terence Howard Roach, Appellant	Pro Se.
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Terence Howard Roach seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion as untimely. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 580 U.S. 100, 115-17 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Roach has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED