UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 23-6738	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	••	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
KEVIN TERRELL BARKSDALE	· ·	
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Dis Raleigh. James C. Dever III, Distr		
Submitted: December 14, 2023		Decided: December 19, 2023
Before GREGORY and RUSHING	G, Circuit Judges, and	l MOTZ, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Kevin Terrell Barksdale, Appellant	t Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Kevin Terrell Barksdale seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on Barksdale's 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 580 U.S. 100, 115-17 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Barksdale has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED