UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

·		•
<u>.</u>	No. 23-7196	
CHRISTOPHER MANN,		
Petitioner - Ap	opellant,	
v.		
WARDEN MATTI,		
Respondent - A	Appellee,	
and		
WARDEN CLEVELAND FRIDA	Υ,	
Respondent.		
Appeal from the United States Dis Deborah Lynn Boardman, District		· ·
Submitted: February 27, 2024		Decided: March 1, 2024
Before WILKINSON, WYNN, and	l HARRIS, Circuit J	udges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Christopher Mann, Appellant Pro S	Se.	

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Christopher Mann seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as untimely his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. *See Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 148 & n.9 (2012) (explaining that § 2254 petitions are subject to one-year statute of limitations, running from latest of four commencement dates enumerated in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1)). The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When, as here, the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez*, 565 U.S. at 140-41 (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

Limiting our review of the record to the issues raised in his informal brief, we conclude that Mann has not made the requisite showing. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b); *see also Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief."). Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED