

United States Court of Appeals

Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

February 21, 2006

Charles R. Fulbruge III
ClerkNo. 04-41592
Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ALLAN ROBERTO RIVERA-GARCIA,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Texas
(5:04-CR-1081-ALL)

Before KING, WIENER, and DeMOSS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Defendant-Appellant Allan Roberto Rivera-Garcia appeals his guilty-plea conviction and sentence for being found in the United States, without permission, following removal. See 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a), (b). Rivera-Garcia asserts that the sentencing provisions in 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b) are unconstitutional on their face and as applied in his case. This constitutional challenge is foreclosed by Almendarez-Torres v. United States, 523 U.S. 224, 235 (1998). Although Rivera-Garcia contends that Almendarez-Torres was

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

incorrectly decided and that a majority of the Supreme Court would overrule Almendarez-Torres in light of Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466 (2000), we have repeatedly rejected such arguments on the basis that Almendarez-Torres remains binding. See United States v. Garza-Lopez, 410 F.3d 268, 276 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 126 S. Ct. 298 (2005). Rivera-Garcia candidly concedes that his argument is foreclosed in light of Almendarez-Torres and circuit precedent, raising it here solely to preserve it for further review. We affirm Rivera-Garcia's conviction.

Rivera-Garcia also insists that the district court committed reversible error when it sentenced him pursuant to the mandatory scheme United States Sentencing Guidelines (U.S.S.G.) that was ultimately held unconstitutional in United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. 220 (2005). In sentencing Rivera-Garcia under a mandatory guidelines regime, the district court committed error that we refer to as Fanfan error. See United States v. Walters, 418 F.3d 461, 463 (5th Cir. 2005). The government concedes that Rivera-Garcia preserved his Fanfan claim for appellate review. To prevail, the government must prove that the Fanfan error in this case was harmless beyond a reasonable doubt. The government has not sustained this burden. See id. at 463-64. We are constrained to vacate Rivera-Garcia's sentence and remand the case for resentencing.

CONVICTION AFFIRMED; SENTENCE VACATED; CASE REMANDED FOR RESENTENCING.

