United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

December 6, 2006

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 06-10513 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

 $\begin{array}{lll} {\tt MAURICIO} & {\tt FLORES-SILLER}, & {\tt also} & {\tt known} & {\tt as} & {\tt Mauricio} & {\tt Flores}, \\ {\tt known} & {\tt as} & {\tt Carlos} & {\tt Mauricio} & {\tt Anaya-Flores}, \\ \end{array}$

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 1:05-CR-82-ALL

Before REAVLEY, STEWART, and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Appealing the Judgment in a Criminal Case, Mauricio Flores-Siller raises arguments that are foreclosed by <u>Almendarez-Torres</u>

<u>v. United States</u>, 523 U.S. 224, 235 (1998), which held that

8 U.S.C. § 1326(b)(2) is a penalty provision and not a separate criminal offense. The Government's motion for summary affirmance is GRANTED, and the judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.