United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

## FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

June 20, 2007

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 06-10876 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ROY G. DRISCOLL,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 3:05-CR-164-ALL

\_\_\_\_\_

Before JONES, Chief Judge, and JOLLY and DENNIS, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:\*

The Federal Public Defender appointed to represent Roy G. Driscoll has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Driscoll has filed a response. The record is insufficiently developed to allow consideration at this time of Driscoll's claims of ineffective assistance of counsel. <u>See United States</u> <u>v. Cantwell</u>, 470 F.3d 1087, 1091 (5th Cir. 2006). Our independent review of the record, counsel's brief, and Driscoll's response discloses no nonfrivolous issue for appeal.

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. <u>See</u> 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.