

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

November 13, 2007

No. 06-20485

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

MIRIAM TINSLEY

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

OCWEN FINANCIAL CORP; OCWEN LOAN SERVICING LLC, as
Successor by Merger with Ocwen Federal Bank, FSB; UNKNOWN
HOLDERS AND OR OWNERS, Residual Interest in Securitization of
Mortgage Loan of Miriam Tinsley; HUGHES WATTERS, & ASKANASE,
LLP; CAROLYN TAYLOR; ANDERSON, BURNS, & VELA LLP
Defendants - Appellees

Appeals from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Texas, Houston
No. 4:06-CV-591

Before KING, BARKSDALE, and DENNIS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The district court's Final Judgment entered May 3, 2006, and its Order to Pay Fees entered April 21, 2006, are not supported by written reasons for its summary resolution of the many jurisdictional and other issues that underlie the Final Judgment and the award of attorneys' fees as sanctions against Tinsley and counsel. Without reasons, we are unable to engage in meaningful appellate

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

review. Accordingly we VACATE both the Final Judgment and the Order to Pay Fees and REMAND to the district court with instructions to the Chief Judge to reassign this case to a different district judge.

We do not intimate that dismissal of some or all of the plaintiff-appellant's claims is ultimately inappropriate, nor do we intimate that sanctions are inappropriate.

VACATED AND REMANDED with instructions to the Chief Judge. Each party shall bear its own costs.