United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

July 11, 2007

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 06-41316 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

RAUL GONZALEZ-CANTU,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. 7:05-CR-852

Before JOLLY, CLEMENT, and OWEN, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:*

Appealing the Judgment in a Criminal Case, Raul Gonzalez-Cantu (Gonzalez) preserves for further review his contention that his sentence is unreasonable because this court's post-Booker** rulings have effectively reinstated the mandatory Sentencing Guideline regime condemned in Booker. Gonzalez concedes that his argument is foreclosed by United States v. Mares, 402 F.3d 511 (5th Cir. 2005), and its progeny, which have outlined this court's methodology for reviewing sentences for reasonableness.

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

^{** &}lt;u>United States v. Booker</u>, 543 U.S. 220 (2005).

In light of <u>Rita v. United States</u>, ___ S. Ct. ___, 2007 WL

1772146 at *6-11 (2007), the issue remains foreclosed. Gonzalez also raises arguments that are foreclosed by <u>Almendarez-Torres v. United States</u>, 523 U.S. 224, 235 (1998), which held that 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b)(2) is a penalty provision and not a separate criminal offense. The Government's motion for summary affirmance is GRANTED, and the judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.