USA v. Corona-Durango Doc. 920080324

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT United States Court Figure States Court Figure

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

No. 07-10857 Summary Calendar March 24, 2008

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff-Appellee

V.

MARCEL CORONA-DURANGO

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 1:07-CR-4-ALL

Before STEWART, OWEN, and SOUTHWICK, Circuit Judges. PFR CURIAM:*

Marcel Corona-Durango was convicted of illegal reentry into the United States and sentenced to serve 36 months in prison. He now appeals his sentence, which exceeds the advisory guidelines range, and argues that it is unreasonable and that the district court committed several errors when formulating his sentence. Corona-Durango contends that the district court placed too much emphasis on his criminal history, did not give proper consideration to the guidelines sentencing range, improperly balanced the

^{*}Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

sentencing factors found in 18 U.S.C. § 3553, did not give due consideration to the relative seriousness of his offense, and did not consider whether a sentence of probation would result in unwarranted sentencing disparity. Because Corona-Durango did not raise these specific claims of error in the district court, review is for plain error only. See United States v. Hernandez-Martinez, 485 F.3d 270, 272-73 (5th Cir. 2007).

Corona-Durango has shown no error, plain or otherwise, in connection with his sentence. To the contrary, our review of the record shows that the district court committed no procedural error at sentencing, and the sentence imposed is substantively reasonable. See Gall v. United States, 128 S. Ct. 586, 597 (2007). The judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.