

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

January 30, 2008

Charles R. Fulbruge III  
Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
No. 07-40878

Conference Calendar  
\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

CANDELARIO LOPEZ-ESPINOZA

Defendant-Appellant

\_\_\_\_\_  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Texas  
USDC No. 1:07-CR-335-1  
\_\_\_\_\_

Before REAVLEY, BENAVIDES, and ELROD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Appealing the Judgment in a Criminal Case, Candelario Lopez-Espinoza raises arguments that are foreclosed by *Almendarez-Torres v. United States*, 523 U.S. 224, 235 (1998), which held that 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b)(2) is a penalty provision and not a separate criminal offense. *United States v. Pineda-Arrellano*, 492 F.3d 624, 625 (5th Cir. 2007), cert. denied, 2008 WL 59441 (Jan. 7, 2008) (No. 07-

\_\_\_\_\_  
\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

6202). The appellant's motion for summary disposition is GRANTED, and the judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.