Harvey Sossamon, III v. Gilbert Campuzano, et al

Doc. 920100112

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT United States Cou

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILEDJanuary 12, 2010

No. 09-10662 Summary Calendar

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

HARVEY LEROY SOSSAMON, III,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

GILBERT CAMPUZANO,

Regional Director Texas Department of Criminal Justice Region VI;
TERRY D. TUCKER, Assistant Warden Montford Unit;
GEORGE ALLEN, Assistant Warden Montford Unit;
GUY SMITH, Medical Liasion Officer;
TERESA ZEPEDA, Nurse; JANE DOE, Nurse; KARIN FRANKLIN, Nurse;
LOU ANN BOLING, Nurse; JANE DOE RICHARDSON, Nurse;
MARION O. WILLIAMS, Hospital Administrator;
JANE DOE ENGLISH, Correctional Officer,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas No. 5:08-CV-149 Case: 09-10662 Document: 00511001780 Page: 2 Date Filed: 01/12/2010

No. 09-10662

Before DAVIS, SMITH, and DENNIS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Harvey Sossamon, III, Texas prisoner # 120297, has been barred from proceeding in forma pauperis ("IFP") under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) because, on at least three prior occasions while incarcerated, he brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed as frivolous or for failure to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. See Sossamon v. Cook, No. 07-10429 (5th Cir. Feb. 20, 2008) (unpublished); Sossamon v. Livingston, No. A-08CA-465-SS (W.D. Tex. Nov. 24, 2008) (unpublished). The district court improvidently granted Sossamon leave to proceed IFP on appeal.

Accordingly, Sossamon's IFP status is decertified, and the appeal is dismissed. Sossamon has 15 days from the date of this opinion to pay the full appellate filing fee to the clerk of the district court, should he wish to reinstate his appeal. Sossamon's request for appointment of counsel is denied.

IFP DECERTIFIED; APPEAL DISMISSED; MOTION FOR APPOINT-MENT OF COUNSEL DENIED.

 $^{^*}$ Pursuant to 5th Cir. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5th Cir. R. 47.5.4.