Barna Conshipping, S.L. v. Commercial Metals Company

Doc. 920100624

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT United States Cou

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILEDJune 23, 2010

No. 09-20611

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

BARNA CONSHIPPING, S.L.,

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

COMMERCIAL METALS CO,

Defendant - Appellee

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 4:09-CV-163

Before JOLLY, SMITH, and OWEN, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

After studying the briefs, hearing argument, and reviewing the record, we conclude that the district court correctly decided this case. Specifically, the appellant's complaint fails to allege, first, any facts sufficient to show abandonment, see Adams v. Unione Mediterranea di Sicurita, 220 F.3d 659, 671 (5th Cir. 2000); second, it has failed to establish that it is a party to or third-party beneficiary of any maritime contract that would give it a maritime lien, see

 $^{^*}$ Pursuant to 5th Cir. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5th Cir. R. 47.5.4.

No. 09-20611

Norfolk S. Ry. Co. v. Kirby, 543 U.S. 14, 31-32 (2004). The judgment of the district court is therefore

AFFIRMED.