USA v. Jose Garcia Doc. 511141126

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT United States Court

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILEDJune 14, 2010

No. 09-20656 Summary Calendar

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

JOSE ALFONSO RODRIGUEZ GARCIA, also known as Jose De Jesus Leba, also known as Ricardo Campos, also known as Jose M. Cortez-Cortez, also known as Jose D. Leba, also known as Nelson Flores, also known as Jose Cortez, also known as Abel P. Vasquez, also known as Jose Cortez-Martin, also known as Jose Alfonso Rodriguez-Garcia, also known as Oscar Rolando Perez, also known as Jose Martin Cortez-Cortez, also known as Jose Elberto Garcia, also known as Jose Heriberto Garcia-Rodriguez, also known as Jose Heriberto Rodriguez-Garcia, also known as Oscar Ramirez-Perez, also known as Jose Edelberto Garcia, also known as Abel Vasquez-Teaz, also known as Jose Edilberto Rodriguez, also known as Francisco Garcia, also known as Jose Edilberto Rodriguez-Garcia, also known as Jose Rodriguez Garcia, also known as Oscar Rolando Ramirez-Perez, also known as Jose Rodriguez Garcia, also known as Oscar Rolando Ramirez-Perez, also known as Jose Rodriguez-Garcia,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 4:09-CR-236-1

Dockets.Justia.com

No. 09-20656

Before DAVIS, SMITH, and DENNIS, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:*

The Federal Public Defender appointed to represent Jose Alfonso Rodriguez Garcia has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Garcia has not filed a response. Our independent review of the record and counsel's brief discloses no nonfrivolous issue for appeal. Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.

 $^{^*}$ Pursuant to 5th Cir. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5th Cir. R. 47.5.4.