

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

August 9, 2010

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
No. 09-41215

Summary Calendar  
\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

MELVIN VICENTE REYES-SALGADO,

Defendant-Appellant

\_\_\_\_\_  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Texas  
USDC No. 1:09-CR-888-1  
\_\_\_\_\_

Before WIENER, PRADO, and OWEN, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Appealing the judgment in a criminal case, Melvin Vicente Reyes-Salgado (Reyes) presents arguments that he initially conceded were foreclosed by *United States v. Cepeda-Rios*, 530 F.3d 333, 335-36 (5th Cir. 2008), which held that even after *Lopez v. Gonzales*, 549 U.S. 47 (2006), a second state conviction for simple possession of a controlled substance qualifies as an aggravated felony that supports the imposition of an eight-level enhancement under U.S.S.G.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

§ 2L1.2(b)(1)(C). Because the arguments were foreclosed, he sought summary disposition to enable him to seek further review.

After the summary-disposition motion was filed, the Supreme Court held in an immigration proceeding that “when a defendant has been convicted of a simple possession offense that has not been enhanced based on the fact of a prior conviction, he has not been ‘convicted’ under [8 U.S.C.] § 1229b(a)(3) of a ‘felony punishable’ as such ‘under the Controlled Substances Act.’” *Carachuri-Rosendo v. Holder*, 2010 WL 2346552 at \*11 (June 14, 2010) (No. 09-60). The Supreme Court noted that “[t]he mere possibility that the defendant’s conduct, coupled with facts outside of the record of conviction, could have authorized a felony conviction under federal law is insufficient . . . .” *Id.*

Reyes now moves, without opposition, to vacate and remand for resentencing. IT IS ORDERED that, in light of *Carachuri-Rosendo*, Reyes’s motion to vacate his sentence and to remand his case to the district court for resentencing is GRANTED. The motion to issue the mandate forthwith is also GRANTED. The motion for summary disposition is DENIED.