

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

February 12, 2010

\_\_\_\_\_  
No. 09-50056  
Conference Calendar  
\_\_\_\_\_

Charles R. Fulbruge III  
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

JORGE ARMANDO CAVAZOS, also known as Jorge Armando Cavazos-Almanza,

Defendant-Appellant

\_\_\_\_\_  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Texas  
USDC No. 4:08-CR-219-ALL  
\_\_\_\_\_

Before GARZA, DENNIS, and ELROD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

The attorney appointed to represent Jorge Armando Cavazos has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Cavazos has filed a response. The record is insufficiently developed to allow consideration at this time of Cavazos's claim of ineffective assistance of appellate counsel; such a claim generally "cannot be resolved on direct appeal when [it] has not been raised before the district court

\_\_\_\_\_  
\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

since no opportunity existed to develop the record on the merits of the allegations.” *United States v. Cantwell*, 470 F.3d 1087, 1091 (5th Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). Our independent review of the record, counsel’s brief, and Cavazos’s response discloses no nonfrivolous issue for appeal. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.