

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

January 12, 2010

Charles R. Fulbruge III  
Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
No. 09-50345

Summary Calendar  
\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

AMANDA CELINA BERMEA,

Defendant-Appellant

\_\_\_\_\_  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Texas  
USDC No. 5:05-CR-330-1  
\_\_\_\_\_

Before WIENER, DeMOSS, and SOUTHWICK, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Amanda Celina Bermea appeals the 30-month sentence imposed following the revocation of her supervised release for failing to submit to drug testing, failing to attend drug counseling, and failing to report to her probation officer. Bermea argues that her sentence, which was above the guidelines range, is unreasonable because it is greater than necessary to accomplish the sentencing objectives of 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

\_\_\_\_\_  
\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

Because Bermea did not object to the reasonableness of her sentence in the district court, review is limited to plain error only. *See United States v. Whitelaw*, 580 F.3d 256, 259 (5th Cir. 2009). The 30-month sentence did not exceed the statutory maximum term of imprisonment authorized upon revocation of supervised release. Bermea has therefore not shown plain error with regard to the reasonableness of her sentence. *See id.* at 265.

AFFIRMED.