

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

F I L E D

August 26, 2010

No. 10-50131
Summary Calendar

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

SERGIO ROSAS-ERIVES, also known as Sergio Evives,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Texas
USDC No. 3:09-CR-2742-1

Before HIGGINBOTHAM, SMITH, and HAYNES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Sergio Rosas-Erives (Rosas) appeals the sentence imposed following his guilty plea conviction for illegal reentry following a previous removal. Rosas argues that the district court's written judgment of sentence conflicts with its oral pronouncement of sentence. The Government agrees.

At the sentencing hearing, the district court imposed a supervised release term of two years. However, the written judgment reflects a three-year term of supervised release. Because the written judgment in this case conflicts with the

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

oral pronouncement of judgment, the oral pronouncement controls. *See United States v. Mireles*, 471 F.3d 551, 557-58 (5th Cir. 2006); *United States v. Martinez*, 250 F.3d 941, 942 (5th Cir. 2001). Accordingly, the case is remanded for the district court to amend its written judgment to conform to its oral pronouncement of sentence. *See Mireles*, 471 F.3d at 558; *Martinez*, 250 F.3d at 942.

The Government's unopposed motion for amendment of the judgment to modify the term of supervised release and for summary affirmance in lieu of filing an appellee's brief is granted in part and denied in part. Given its position in this case, the Government need not file an appellee's brief. To the extent that the Government requests that this court modify Rosas's term of supervised release without a remand to the district court to amend the written judgment, the Government's motion is denied. The Government's alternative motion for an extension of time to file an appellee's brief is denied as moot.

REMANDED FOR AMENDMENT OF JUDGMENT AND AFFIRMED IN ALL OTHER RESPECTS; MOTION TO AMEND JUDGMENT WITHOUT REMAND DENIED; MOTION FOR SUMMARY AFFIRMANCE GRANTED EXCEPT AS TO MATTER REMANDED; MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME DENIED AS MOOT.