## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT United States Cou

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

September 16, 2011

No. 11-30252 Summary Calendar

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

FELICIA CARROLL,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana USDC No. 6:09-CR-293-13

Before GARZA, SOUTHWICK, and HAYNES, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:  $^{\star}$ 

The attorney appointed to represent Felicia Carroll has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief citing *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). See also United States v. Flores, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011)(addressing requirements for an Anders brief). Carroll has not filed a response. We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 11-30252

therein.<sup>1</sup> We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Although counsel did not fully comply with *Flores*, our independent review of the record reveals that any deficiencies in the brief do not affect the conclusion that no nonfrivolous issues are presented.