

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

June 20, 2012

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 11-40241
Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

JUAN MANUEL ROJAS, JR.,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. 2:10-CR-884-1

Before KING, JOLLY, and GRAVES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Juan Manuel Rojas, Jr., has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Rojas has filed a response and a motion to remove appointed counsel, Joel H. Thomas, and to appoint new counsel. To the extent that Rojas raises a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, the record is insufficiently developed to allow consideration at this time of Rojas's claim; such a claim generally

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 11-40241

“cannot be resolved on direct appeal when the claim has not been raised before the district court since no opportunity existed to develop the record on the merits of the allegations.” *United States v. Cantwell*, 470 F.3d 1087, 1091 (5th Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). We have reviewed counsel’s brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Rojas’s response. We concur with counsel’s assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Rojas’s motion is DENIED. The motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.