USA v. Gabino Lope ase: 12-10997 Document: 00512319086 Page: 1 Date Filed: 07/24/2013oc. 512319086

## United States Court of Appeals FIFTH CIRCUIT OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE CLERK

TEL. 504-310-7700 600 S. MAESTRI PLACE NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130

July 24, 2013

MEMORANDUM TO COUNSEL OR PARTIES LISTED BELOW

Regarding: Fifth Circuit Statement on Petitions for Rehearing or Rehearing En Banc

No. 12-10997, USA v. Gabino Lopez USDC No. 4:06-CR-5-2

Enclosed is a copy of the court's decision. The court has entered judgment under FED. R. APP. P. 36. (However, the opinion may yet contain typographical or printing errors which are subject to correction.)

FED. R. APP. P. 39 through 41, and  $5^{TH}$  CIR. RULES 35, 39, and 41 govern costs, rehearings, and mandates.  $5^{\text{TH}}$  CIR. Rules 35 and 40 require you to attach to your petition for panel rehearing or rehearing en banc an unmarked copy of the court's opinion or order. Please read carefully the Internal Operating Procedures (IOP's) following FED. R.  $\overrightarrow{APP}$ . P. 40 and  $5^{\text{TH}}$  CIR.  $\overrightarrow{R}$ . 35 for a discussion of when a rehearing may be appropriate, the legal standards applied and sanctions which may be imposed if you make a nonmeritorious petition for rehearing en banc.

cause for a stay or clearly demonstrate that a substantial question will be presented to the Supreme Court. Otherwise, this court may deny the motion and issue the mandate immediately.

Pro Se Cases. If you were unsuccessful in the district court and/or on appeal, and are considering filing a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, you do not need to file a motion for stay of mandate under FED. R. App. P. 41. The issuance of the mandate does not affect the time, or your right, to file with the Supreme Court.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk

Deborah M. Graham Debbie T. Graham, Deputy Clerk

Enclosure(s)

Mr. James Wesley Hendrix

Mr. William Ernest Hermesmeyer

Mr. Andrew O. Wirmani