

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

No. 13-30687
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED
June 16, 2014

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff–Appellee,

v.

WILLIAM A. JEWELL, also known as Vladimir Putin,

Defendant–Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Louisiana
USDC No. 5:11-CR-146-4

Before WIENER, OWEN, and HAYNES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent William A. Jewell has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Jewell has filed a response. To the extent Jewell complains of his counsel’s performance, the record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of any claim of ineffective assistance of counsel; we therefore decline

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 13-30687

to consider such a claim, without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Jewell's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. Jewell's belated motions to view sealed documents and to file an out-of-time supplemental response to the *Anders* brief are DENIED. All other motions are DENIED.